

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Initially, Applicant wishes to thank Examiner Chen and his Primary for conducting an interview with Applicant's representatives, Robert G. Hartman and David K. Sakata, on February 8, 2008.

During the interview, Applicant's representative presented arguments distinguishing the claims from the cited references. For instance, it was argued that the cited references, particularly the Corrie reference, was silent on, "ascertaining whether the plurality of developers are able to use the API without problems; and when the plurality of the developers are not ascertained to be able to use the API without problems, then implementing the revising..." (cancelled claim 37).

Applicant's representatives therefore proposed incorporating claim 37 and features of claim 36 into independent claim 34. Applicant's representatives also proposed to make similar amendments to the remaining independent claims. Applicant's representatives understood the Examiners to tentatively agree that the claims, amended as proposed during the interview, would be allowable over at least the cited portions of the references of record. Accordingly, Applicant's representatives herein amend independent claims 1, 15, 34, 47, 48 and 49 in the manner discussed during the interview.

Independent claims 1, 15, 34, 47, 48 and 49 and their respective dependent claims are believed to be allowable for at least the reasons discussed during the interview.

Finally, Applicant's representatives also proposed amendments to obviate the grounds for the 35 U.S.C. § 112 rejections. Applicant's representatives understood the Examiners to tentatively agree that the claims, amended as proposed, would indeed obviate the grounds for the 35 U.S.C. § 112 rejections.